**SINGAPORE GOVERNMENT PRESS STATEMENT**

**MC. AU. 2/63 (PM)**

**SPEECH BY THE PRIME MINISTER,**

**MR. LEE KUAN YEW, IN WINDING UP HIS MOTION ON THE MALAYSIA AGREEMENT IN THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY ON THURSDAY, 1ST AUGUST, 1963.**

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I had hoped, although somewhat forlornly that before the end of this Assembly, the rise would rise to the occasion. We are debating today not the future of the PAP, or the future of Barisan Sosialis, or the Singapore Grand Alliance. We are taking a decision of momentous proportion, and because it is of momentous proportion I have been somewhat circumspect in the way in which my motion has been phrased. I wish to repeat that this adoption is a conditional adoption subject to all the terms which have been agreed in the documents set out in Miscellaneous 5 of 1963, which, I think, in view of the way the debate has gone, I shall have to take the House through in some detail.

National Archives of Singapore

Sir, I had expected whatever happens, the Communists like the Member for Upper Serangoon to take the same consistent anti-national, anti-Malaysia line – they cannot change, it is too late for them. I had expected the Member for Hong Lim who is a specialist well-versed in the arts of "The Three Kingdoms" to take exactly a parallel line but not quite. As I had explained earlier in the opening, they are cohorts going into battle, they believe they have got 25 per cent, I think it is less now. Somebody has got to inherit this 25 per cent. And the Member for Hong Lim is hoping that the banner of Barisan Sosialis will fall from their grasp as the battle is joined after the 31st of August, and seeing a similar banner with similar slogan the cohorts will go with it. I have even half expected the Member for Anson, true to his real nature, to do another acrobatic act. The miracle that he performed in July/August last year, he has unperformed this year back again – second-class citizenship, Singapore is being sold out. Sir, what he said and what I said is on the record and on tapes of Radio Singapore. We could play them back, I could take him through every single clause, but I did not expect the stupidity of the Grand Alliance – that I did not expect. Sir, I do not know if they knew what they were doing. Perhaps the 15 seats rattled them whilst I was away in Cameron Highlands attending the Security Council meeting and they suddenly took a position of being against the Government and against everything. But I would have thought that even if one plays poker one should consider the possibilities of winning. Taking this line,

National Archives of Singapore

lining up with the Barisan Sosialis to a point with the Member for Upper Serangoon for the first time has found words to praise the Member for Farrer Park. I do not think, Mr. Speaker, Sir, with great respect, that it is an intelligent line. It is not the sort of thing which sensible coherent leaders do – if they calculate, I make no bones about the fact that we spend all our time calculating the possibilities. Sir, I will first dispose of the Grand Alliance because I think they are the less troublesome and by doing this somersault what little following they have, I think they have thrown into complete disarray. I do not know whether they know the incalculable consequences of leaders doing a whole farce. I would have thought that they were more right than me, they were more determined to have merger at any cost. I was determined on merger on fair and just terms or to let the British take the consequences and even now that is my position. If these terms set out, and I know that there are Members from the U.K. Commission listening and will be reading this with great interest and I say this categorically that what we say categorically we never withdraw from. If the terms set out in the exchange of letters, including the documents signed by the Tunku, the envelope and the piece of toilet paper, (according to the Member for Cairnhill) are not fulfilled, then I say I am not prepared to defend the Constitution, I do not have to. These are terms agreed, they are conditions precedent to my signature at Marlborough House on the night of the 8th July. Now, Sir, for the S.P.A. I think I was not here, because I had many other matters

National Archives of Singapore

to attend to of a constitutional nature. I thought the saddest thing was that the Member for Cairnhill was no longer in a position to lead his party in this debate. He had to leave it to the Member for Farrer Park. Now, Sir, I have always had a lot of time for the Member for Farrer Park. I think he has been in his own way trying to do what he thought was right. He does not want to see this country go Communists, he does not want to see the country go to ruins and he was determined to have merger and Malaysia. I read his speech in today's paper with some sorrow, because I think this was not an occasion to play party politics. He could have risen to the occasion, he could really have led and retrieved the position for his Grand Alliance – grand only in name, Mr. Speaker. What did he do – take out legalistic points about the changes in the Constitution and he has got three of them on the amendment. What happens to Members on this House? Are they automatically out of this Assembly because they have changed sides, what happens to the Member for Aljunied? Is he really that ignorant of the law? This law is not of retrospective effect. It applies in the next Assembly, the next elections. Is he that ignorant or was he trying to score a few points? He talks about he would have preferred to give $25-million straight grant, much cheaper, would have saved Singapore more money. Sir, I do not want to go into this but he has asked for this. Has he stopped to consider what he was saying? That his own principals in Kuala Lumpur – and I call them

National Archives of Singapore

principals and the gentlemen in front of me agents, the local branch, the local agent. Whatever they say they are no more than that –

Inche Mohd. Ali bin Alwi: On a point of elucidation.

The Prime Minister: Clarify , by all means.

Mohd. Ali bin Alwi: (In Malay): Mr. Speaker, I wish to clarify that we have received no directive or instruction from the Federation. We have carefully weighed the matter before we made our decision.

The Prime Minister: A technical position, Mr. Speaker, Sir, and nobody really believes the Member for Cairnhill or for that matter the Member for Southern Islands is going to stand up and say "Boo to the Tunku"? Can they say boo to the Tunku's signature on these documents? Are they saying that they would have done better if they were in our place giving $25-million away –

National Archives of Singapore

An Hon Member: We didn't say.

The Prime Minister: One-and-a-half-million dollars interest in perpetuity plus $25-million which will never come back. Let us go into

calculations. $1½million in perpetuity, 5½per cent interest World Bank rates, we are lending $100-million, half labour – five years no interest – after five years if we are prosperous, World Bank review. By all means, but they must make Singapore prosperous, they must not stymie Singapore. Further, more money will have to be paid back……

After the fifth year, interest will be paid back and the Central Government guarantees it. I say it is a business-like arrangement. The Member for Farrer Park has raised three amendments. I will reject them utterly and absolutely as a face-saving device to find a way out for himself and his party. He is no ignoramus. He knows the law, and I assume that he has read his documents.

The first point: term of office of members. I stated in this House before I went to London that that was what we were going to do, but in the next Assembly any Member who is elected on a party ticket, whose party symbol appears against his name, if he is expelled or resigns, or crosses the floor he automatically vacates his seat in this House. We are the Government, Sir, and we do this. If they win the election they have the right under Article 19 of the State Constitution to change it back, and play musical chairs. Go back to the people. I am prepared to do that, Mr. Speaker, Sir. That is my stand. Vote

National Archives of Singapore

against this, and I will go back to the country, and fight on this by ourselves and will prove that they are wrong. His second point: safeguard for Singapore. They want even more constitutional safeguards. A Government not to be trusted you must have a two-third majority of the Assembly. I say by all means. If they could get, if they are men of such great influence in the Grand Alliance, let them go to Kuala Lumpur, and get the Tunku and his colleagues to agree, and I will welcome it vociferously and give them full marks for it. Do something which is quite different from all the other states by all means. My worry is this, Mr. Speaker, and I think the people of Singapore's worry is not that the Singapore Government, a non-Alliance Government will agree with the Federation Government in giving concession not to our interests, but that an Alliance Government would not be in a position to resist. If the Member for Farrer Park could only go for the Residency in Kuala Lumpur and get it for us, we would welcome it and I will reconvene an emergency session of this Assembly and amend Command Paper No.22 of 1963. Why not? I will write to the Tunku and mention this, and say that this is at the special behest of the Member of Farrer Park and his colleagues, who are afraid that because they would not be able to resist the Federation, therefore, they should have the safeguard. That is what he is saying. That is what he is reduced to, playing party politics.

National Archives of Singapore

And his third one: filling of vacancies. What is undemocratic about that. That is the Constitution we have got. That is the position in Britain. Hugh Gaitskell, the Labour leader, died in September last year shortly after the Prime Ministers' conference. His by-election did not take place till late June this year. What is undemocratic?

If they win, change the Constitution. These are State constitutions. They can be changed at the behest of the State Assembly. Even if we drafted it the way the Member for Farrer Park wanted, there is nothing to prevent us from amending it the way we want, if and when we win the next election and if and when they win, but, perhaps, they consider the possibility of winning such a remote eventuality that they got no confidence in themselves. They are thinking of conscribing a PAP Government. The next contest is between the Communist and I said that over four years ago at the last general election. It must be, and the last government was wise enough.

National Archives of Singapore

Had the Member for Cairnhill had his way he would have been scrubbed out of the contest, and he would have had to meet the Communist, and he would have been meshed up into minced meat. We are here in one team and we intend to be here, a fight for what is right for the country. And the Member for Cairnhill. Sir, I was not here. I was told he said, "Well, what is wrong about trying to make a little bit of money, selling aeroplanes and airports. Nothing at all, Mr. Speaker, Sir. Nothing wrong with that. If he can make five per cent on $50-million dollars good luck to him. $2½ million. Why not. All over the world there are contact men. If you are not a contractor, you can be the chap who knows how to get the contract, or how to sell aeroplanes, even though you know nothing about aircraft. Why not. But what I am saying is that this is incompatible with his position as a leader of the people of Singapore. If you watch, Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am sure you will never dream of doing it. But I would feel somewhat ashamed. On the one hand you say, "I will fight for the rights of Singapore and stand up to Kuala Lumpur and say 'boo' – and know which we have got to do from time to time even after merger, after Malaysia, and on the other hand say, would you please buy this, you know, you probably won't get hold of the whole 5 per cent you have got to give cuts all along the line, you know, 2 per cent, there, half per cent there, may be winds up with 2½ per cent, but still it is a lot of money. I say what's wrong. It is incompatible with standing up for the rights of the people of Singapore, and we instead of choosing to meet

National Archives of Singapore

the argument — what I say here, Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am prepared to say outside – no privilege – but what he said here about Lee & Lee making money out of Indonesians implying that I and my wife making money because of my position. I say let him step out of this Chamber, say that, and I give him full justification. I go into the witness box - the plaintiff in a suit for libel goes into the witness box and is cross-examined and is put under close scrutiny. I am not afraid, my hands are clean and so are those of my colleagues, therefore, we are alive. His colleagues, what's happened to them. Iron mining, factories, all lucrative, Mr. Speaker, Sir. None of them that I know of are dying of starvation or malnutrition. But let me tell him this. Arrogant people, Sir, proud Mr. Speaker, Sir, to belong to a group of men who will stand up for what it is like regardless of their personal interests. And let me say this. That in the last 3 months we stood the risk that Malaysia fails because we stood for our rights of having really to face big trouble. It was a calculated risk. We also stand the risk now that we have got the Finance Minister somewhat unhappy Federation of being dealt with because the police will be handed over. I did not mention Chief Enohoro in jest, Mr. Speaker, Sir. He was a chief in his own right before Nigeria got independence just like I am a little chief in my own rights here today. And I will not be after the 31st, but I say regardless of that we do our duty. By the time we decided to fight the principals behind the Member for Queenstown we are not going to be bogged down by petty men, either by spite or malice. Sir, I

National Archives of Singapore

sympathise with the UMNO members because they are in real trouble because they know their situation. The Member for Geylang Serai I visited him, in his constituency on Sunday. I am sorry if I had disturbed him at 2 a.m., but he knows what the ground situation is like. We have only one quarrel, Mr. Speaker, Sir, what I said about Khalwat. Now, I would like to clarify this which is a serious matter for them and for my Government. I made a statement on religious freedom with due circumspection. I think it is the only way out for Singapore. I have been attacked by the religious head of UMNO as interfering with the affairs of the rights of the Muslims. I desire to do no such thing. The Muslims decide Muslim law for themselves and there is a Muslim Bill – Administration of Muslim Law or Bill. The Section there says – Clause 131(1) and 131(2) – talks of cohabitation outside marriage, not Khalwat. Khalwat, Sir, I am sorry you have to go into this little detail means a male and a female found in close proximity who are not unlawfully married. What close proximity means is not lawfully married. If you are lawfully married I think it is all right. However, there will be a lot of trouble. I am quite sure it is not the intention of any religion to prevent the continuance of mankind. Well, I say that I leave Muslims to decide Muslim laws for themselves. What I am saying is no non-Muslim in Singapore will be covered by Muslim law. I think that is fair. I cannot go beyond that. Al I can say is that I will not interfere with the Muslims, they have their wishes, they do as they wish. I have at the same time to speak up for a lot of Buddhists,

National Archives of Singapore

Christians and others if all Christians wanted to canonise law and vice versa with the Buddhists it will wind up by slitting each other's throats. Once religion becomes a major factor in a multi-racial community as it did in Ceylon, then we are in trouble. I am quite sure that it is not the intention of Said Ali Rada Alsagoff. Said means a descendant of the Prophet, Mr. Speaker, Sir. I am quite sure it is not his intention to have bloodshed in this country. We have got on and have always been grateful to the Tunku for his leadership because he has allowed everybody to have his little bit of liberty, and that is the way we wish to keep it.

Sir, now the points raised by – these are points of substance. I have got another 25 minutes, I have got to run through this, I am quite happy to answer any other points the Member would write to me in writing, I will give a considered reply, but I want to get this on the record.

National Archives of Singapore

Mr. Speaker: The Prime Minister is not giving way. The Member for Anson talks about the Blue Book and the White Book, the Blue Book being the one in London and the White Book being the one we tabled here. They are not the same. I told the House that there were amendments and that I was introducing more amendments in accordance with the exchange of letters. As I understood it from my colleague the Member for Anson considers the Blue Book to be the master copy, that is not, so, Mr. Speaker, Sir. As far as we are

concerned the master copy is out. The constitutional documents plus the exchange of letters and if the conditions are not fulfilled, then I say the British can have Singapore. I am not defending this. They can go to Television Singapura, Radio Singapore, the Press, mass meetings and explain. If I am to explain, then I want a right, fair, just and honourable settlement and we stick to the bargain.

Sir, I think that it is fair I should mention this. The United Kingdom Commissioner has written me a letter dated the 8th saying that he would like all amendments which have been made on the Blue Book to be agreed in precise terms with the Tunku. He says by the Tunku, but I say with the Tunku and that his High Commissioner should be informed of this so that he can make the necessary amendments and the Order in Council which will be made. My position is quite simple, Mr. Speaker, Sir, if the amendments are not made, I am not defending this Constitution. I have agreed.

National Archives of Singapore

Even in a telegram to my State Advocate General, the Attorney-General of the Federation has agreed to that. So what is the Member for Cairnhill and the Member for Farrer Park complaining about? He does not like it, win the next elections. Tell the Tunku that he is wrong. Immigration restriction order movement is reciprocal. We are talking now in terms of

gangsters and other political undesirables. I have stated if they have the right to keep our undesirables out, we want James Puthucheary, Woodhull and Mr. Fong Swee Suan including Mr. Lim Chin Siong, whom we proved ultimately that he comes from Pontian, we want them out of this. It will make Singapore a healthier, more peaceful and happier place to live in.

Now, Sir, I want to make my position clear on this. My State Advocate General has received a communication to say that they were delegated by way of an executive administrative, using one of the articles of the present constitution. I am not satisfied with that because this must be in the Constitution and not by an administrative act. By an administrative act in six months' time something may happen. The Member for Cairnhill is always buzzing around in Kuala Lumpur, Sir, and I don't blame him. He tries for his friend, his former chauffer now in S. John's to whom he writes plain letters. He dares not reply to them because he can do nothing about it. And I think the chances are he will remain in a position where he can do nothing about it, and I like to keep things happy that way.

National Archives of Singapore

Sir, one other matter which I think is of some importance, namely my letter of 8th July, regarding the $10-million. These are all conditions preceding before I signed the agreement. And I say we don't the $10-million – there again it is not worth the British Government's while either. I paid the British what I though was a tribute well deserved that they are an electronic computer. May be not the representative himself but he has got a team, he's got the expertise, experience of a whole machine, and if they think it is worth their while quibbling about a few million dollars for them to go and explain to the people of Singapore instead of me, I nearly lost my voice, Mr. Speaker, Sir, but we keep on going at this until we nail every falsehood down. I came back for that purpose and when I have nailed every falsehood down, then we will go to Africa and make friends because I think if Chief Enohoro had more friends, there would have been a better chance. I make no bones about this. We know exactly what we are doing. We calculate the pieces on the chess board ten moves ahead. That is the way it must be done. The enemy does that. They say brave things in this Chamber but they know that they're gone – their thinkers behind and planners admit that they have lost the battle against Malaysia – sending telegrams to Manila is not going to help them.

National Archives of Singapore

Now, Sir, finally before I dispose of Mr. Marshall, I think my colleague, Dr. Goh, the Finance Minister, has dealt with the matter at some length. All I want to say is Sir, on the World Bank team three of them were members of a very talented race. They were Jews, very hard-headed businessmen. Three members on our Economic Development Board – the Director sent by way of secondment to United Nations, the Economic Adviser, Professor Cahen, the technical consultant, the chemical engineer – they are also Jews' men of considerable talent. So is the Member for Anson. But I think I prefer to put my money on these gentlemen as against the Member for Anson. You can't have every member in the family all talented with strong muscles, nerves and compos mentis.

Yes, but I say it speaks volumes for the practical and businesslike manner in which they have set out their report, and I think we have got our point across the World Bank against the Federation point of view. Let me make no bones about this. This is all part of history. The Federation wanted complete economic customs union immediately formed and we would have been killed. The entrepot trade, one-third of it would have died. Primary produce – two-thirds will not be affected whatever happens. Now, the other one-third – I am reported in the press as saying that it will go but that is not what I said. What I said is over 12 years we will have to make other arrangements as they get

National Archives of Singapore

covered by customs duties, only one-third get covered by customs duties, and I say we got the World Bank on our side partly because they got four bright men from the chosen race speaking to truly bright men.

Now, Sir, a matter of more substance, citizenship. I expected it. Second class! Whole host of lies and falsehood, but what is interesting is that the Member for Anson, who must have some regard for his own professional reputation, has not taken the line of the Barisan Sosialis. My colleague, the Minister for Home Affairs, has no time to give a point by point reply. He will do so through the press. A lot of falsehood has been uttered by the Member for Queenstown either through ignorance or wilful malice. The member for Anson took an entirely different line. His line is second class because of no freedom of association, education and labour. But we can't have it on both sides. You can't put butter on both sides of your bread. Either you have state autonomy in education and labour, in which case you say you got the right to exclude others from the enjoyment of these privileges, or you go in. And if the Member for Anson together with the Member for Cairnhill can win the next elections and agree to surrender labour and education, then so be it. There will be a similar education policy interchangeable for students. We will all pay taxes and the Central Government will look after everything. I put my position quite clear in

National Archives of Singapore

April and I have done what I stated. And I am prepared to go out publicly to explain and defend.

Sir, the Member for Anson was quoting a Chinese proverb and my Political Secretary, and that is the advantage of having a Political Secretary who is educated in Chinese, says there is no such Chinese proverb. What he says was "Li fu Chiau Chiang" – "a tiger cornered has to jump over the wall". He said he had never heard of it. Because these tigers are never found in the city area where there are walls. I went back during the adjournment and looked up my book of Chinese proverbs and I couldn't find it. But I could find another proverb –"Kua Chi Tiau Chiang" – "a cornered dog jumps over the wall" and that is what they are – cornered dogs. And they asked for this – they growled, they barked, they snapped for two years. We told them for God's sake, be reasonable, domestic animals. You know that we are feeding them $500 a month, giving them a reasonable living and they went running around as if they had the rabbies. Well, now they got, as the proverb goes, "Tiau Chiang". Whether they can really make the wall, well, that is their business, but as far as I am concerned, my sympathies are not with them. They asked for it and they got it.

National Archives of Singapore

Now, Sir, the real core – Defence – Article 6, says the Member for Queenstown. That is the key reason for Malaysia. That is why we are going to

spend a lot of money on defence. Tunku's foreign policy is wrong, says the Member for Upper Serangoon that is why we got to spend money …….

The Prime Minister: … He wrote me a letter about this defence agreement which has been published years ago in 1957. And now he is going to apply throughout the territories of Malaysia. And I say, "Thank God for that". I mean, let us be realists. Do they really want to go out and expect the Federation army's 8,000 men and the Singapore army, now Second Battalion 2,000; 8,000 plus 2,000 – 10,000 men to fight against armies of half-a-million men? And in South-East Asia there are armies of half-a-million men. I am here, Mr. Speaker, Sir, because there is peace, there is security, otherwise I think our Sergeant at Arms may be absent, if there is arson, riots, commotion, army, navy, troops captured the city. We can't expect chaps to turn up and behave as if nothing has happened. This is not a laughing matter. I would like to point out to the Member for Anson, I hope he read this. He had condemned this document by throwing it

National Archives of Singapore

he says he is tabling it. Let me read him what he did which the British rejected in 1956. He was giving the British – I am quoting now from Sessional Paper Command 31 of 1956. He is always doing that. What the old Member for Tiong Bahru used to say, "Licking up his own spittle." This is what he did. He gave the British bases in perpetuity. Here we are giving them a lease – 30 years. After 30 years the lease expired. If by 30 years we have not established

ourselves then really we are in trouble. But I think 30 years is a long time in the life of South-East Asia and will see a lot of changes, and we will grow in strength and stature and the region will grow in stability. Meanwhile, let us have no power vacuum. Does he really want us to close down the bases? He will be out of practice, Mr. Speaker, Sir, there will be no chaps to defend all these petty things like seduction and kidnapping, and so on. When the country is being looted and plundered and raped, you think people have time to prosecute each other for kidnapping, taking pictures – obscene pictures and so on? All that goes by the way side, but you must try to survive. I do not read that sort of story elsewhere. It only happens in stable, secure countries. Then you get Profumo, Christine Keeler, Ward – lewd pictures of imminent men who may or may not have fallen into the swimming pool. Well, as a stable society, and in our once Japanese occupied Singapore, every other day there was a rape case. Nobody took any notice. Every other day somebody was being butchered. Nobody took any notice. I think the Member for Anson ought to count his blessings that because of us he is still making a good living. But I would like to remind him on this. Then he agreed to give in perpetuity – and what's more -- Mr. Speaker, Sir, I do not understand the Member for Anson. I have tabled documents which I will defend and which I intended to defend. My dear Secretary for State. He writes to me: "My dear Prime Minister" I write to Tun Razak – these are formal letters. I may be Tun Razak's classmate and friend, but we are writing to each

National Archives of Singapore

other in our formal capacity: "My dear Deputy Prime Minister". We never get our personal and official capacities get mixed up. As representative of Singapore, I write formally. Not so the Member for Anson. They make gruesome reading, you know. I refer to Miscellaneous No.2 of 1956. This was to the old Secretary of State: "My dear Alan" -- signed "David". Reply: "My dear David" – signed "Alan".

Finally, things got a bit chilly and the Minister for State – No.2 in the Colonial Office. "Dear David", the "My", had been dropped off. But poor David still wrote: "My dear John". That was Mr. John Hare. That is where I said to the Member for Cairnhill, he and his former Chief Minister get their personal and official capacities get mixed up. Ah Hong Construction is Ah Hong Construction. But the Singapore Government is the Singapore Government. They are two different things. I am not saying it is wrong to be a contract man to make money. All I am saying is – I think it is an invidious position to be in – to say that you stood up and fought for the right of Singapore, when in fact you are asking for favours. To build the University buildings, Ah Hong Construction got a big contract there. The University of Malaya, not the University of Singapore. Singapore they get their fingers chopped off. And I say this goes for even after Malaysia. Tenders will have to put in properly and no contact man will be required.

National Archives of Singapore

Sir, the time for reckoning has now come. I am glad to see the Member for Anson turn up.

Anyway everybody is here to be reckoned – to stand up, speak up and be counted. The battle lines within the country are being drawn. We better decide what jerseys we are wearing. Either you are for Malaysia or you are against Malaysia. Do not run with the hares and hunt with the hounds. It is an unprofitable business. The British may be a little squeamish about having to do in somebody they have made use of. Let me say, Mr. Speaker, that all nationalist governments all over the world when they assume power and face opposition which is irresponsible, they deal summarily with it. And having had to deal with irresponsible opposition, although very fortunately in our case, we did not have to deal summarily with them, I nevertheless sympathise with those leaders – Abu Bakar of Nigeria, Bong Chief Enohoro, extradited, in jail, Ghana chaps fled to neighbouring territories; in Algiers, and it is a tough place, and they fought a tough war. A Minister was shot. He was the youngest Member of the Cabinet. One day after I left when one former Minister of the Provisional Government was walking along Algiers, a car drew up. He went in, and he has not been heard of since. My sympathies are for law and order. If I tried to undermine the Tunku's government then I expect him to lock me up. I think he would be a fool if he did

National Archives of Singapore

not. It is his duty. My business as a loyal Opposition is to see that Malaysia survives. I say this is the time when we all speak up. We are prepared to go to the country by ourselves and defend this, without the Grand Alliance. If they want to abstain they do so at their own peril. They are playing party politics. Why not rise above this pettiness. This is something bigger than ourselves. This is going to ensure our survival. I am quoting the Member for Farrer Park now. He said that it so happened that I was the Prime Minister at a time in a situation where things came about. The cataclysm took place, crystallization, Malaysia and merger. I played a part, yes. Why go against the will of the people? To be born, to be free, to survive in a world full of strife and turmoil; and more strife and turmoil coming. Let us not misjudge the situation. Two thousand chaps are under training in Sarawak along the border; arms being supplied. I say he is a foolish man who expects a Central Government faced with that situation to sit back and let the country go to pieces. I for one, Sir, and I am sure my colleagues, with me here will stand up and fight for Malaysia.

National Archives of Singapore

Sir, I beg to move.

---

2nd August, 1963. (Time issue : 0300 hours)

National Archives of Singapore